arXiv:1512.00944v3 [hep-th] 10 Mar 2016

Modified Non-Euclidian Transformation on the $\frac{\mathrm{SO(2N+2)}}{\mathrm{U(N+1)}}$ Grassmannian and SO(2N+1) Random Phase Approximation for Unified Description of Bose and Fermi Type Collective Excitations*

Seiya NISHIYAMA†, João da PROVIDÊNCIA‡

Centro de Física, Departamento de Física,
Universidade de Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

Dedicated to the Memory of Hideo Fukutome

March 11, 2016

Abstract

In a slight different way from the previous one, we propose a modified non-Euclidian transformation on the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ Grassmannian which give the projected $SO(2N+1)$ Tamm-Dancoff equation. We derive a classical time dependent (TD) $SO(2N+1)$ Lagrangian which, through the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variables, brings another form of the previous extended-TD Hartree-Bogoliubov (HB) equation. The $SO(2N+1)$ random phase approximation (RPA) is derived using Dyson representation for paired and unpaired operators. In the $SO(2N)$ HB case, one boson and two boson excited states are realized. We, however, stress non existence of a higher RPA vacuum. An integrable system is given by a geometrical concept of zero-curvature, i.e., integrability condition of connection on the corresponding Lie group. From the group theoretical viewpoint, we show the existence of a symplectic two-form ω.

Keywords: Hartree-Bogoliubov formalism; SO(2N) and SO(2N+1) Lie algebras;
TD Hartree-Bogoliubov equation; SO(2N+1) random phase approximation
Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 81Vxx, 81V35, 81V70

*A part of this work is a contributed paper presented by H. Fukutome and S. Nishiyama at the March 1982 Sanibel Symposium on *Atomic, Molecular and Solid- State Theory, Collision Phenomena and Computational Quantum Chemistry*, Flagler Beach, Florida.

Particularly, sections 5 and 6 owe to private communications with the late Professor H. Fukutome.

†Corresponding author. E-mail address: seikoceu@khe.biglobe.ne.jp, nisiyama@teor.fis.uc.pt

‡E-mail address: providencia@teor.fis.uc.pt

1 Introduction

The time dependent Hartree-Bogoliubov (TDHB) theory is the first standard approximation in the many-body theoretical description of a superconducting fermion system [1, 2]. It is a good approximation for the ground state of a fermion system with a pairing interaction. The $SO(2N)$ Lie algebra of the fermion pair operators contains the $U(N)$ Lie algebra as a subalgebra. $SO(2N)$ and $U(N)$ denote the special orthogonal group of $2N$ dimensions and the unitary group of N dimensions (N: Number of single-particle states of the fermions). The canonical transformation of the fermion operators generated by the Lie operators in the $SO(2N)$ Lie algebra induces the generalized Bogoliubov transformation for the fermions. The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for $\frac{SO(2N)}{U(N)}$ coset variables makes a TDHB equation[3].

For providing a general microscopic means for a unified self-consistent (SC) description for Bose and Fermi type collective excitations in such fermion systems, a new many-body theory has been proposed by Fukutome, Yamamura and one of the present authors (S.N.) standing on the $SO(2N+1)$ Lie algebra of the fermion operators [4]. An induced representation of an $SO(2N+1)$ group has been obtained from a group extension of the $SO(2N)$ Bogoliubov transformation for fermions to a new canonical transformation group. We start with the fact that the set of the fermion operators consisting of creation-annihilation and pair operators forms a larger Lie algebra, the Lie algebra of the $SO(2N+1)$ group. The fermion Lie operators, when operated onto the integral representation of the $SO(2N+1)$ wave function (HW), are mapped into the regular representation of the $SO(2N+1)$ group and are represented by Bose operators. The creation-annihilation operators themselves as well as the pair operators are given by the Schwinger type boson representation [5, 6]. Embedding an $SO(2N+1)$ group into an $SO(2N+2)$ group and using $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variables [7, 8, 9, 10], we have developed an extended TDHB theory. This extended TDHB theory applicable to both even and odd fermion-number systems is a SC field (SCF) theory with the same level of the mean field approximation as the usual TDHB theory for even fermion-number systems.

With a slight different way from the Fukutome's [11], we propose a modified non-Euclidian transformation on the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ Grassmannian which give the projected $SO(2N+1)$ Tamm-Dancoff equation [11]. We derive a classical TD $SO(2N+1)$ Lagrangian which, by through the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variables, brings another form of the previous extended-TDHB equation. The $SO(2N+1)$ random phase approximation (RPA) is derived using Dyson representation (rep) [7] for paired and unpaired operators. In the $SO(2N)$ HB case, a RPA vacuum is obtained and one boson and two boson excited states are realized. We, however, stress non existence of a higher RPA vacuum because an $SO(2N+1)$ spinor function is not integrable. An integrable system is given by a geometrical concept of zero-curvature, i.e., integrability condition of connection on the corresponding Lie group. From the group theoretical viewpoint, we show the existence of a symplectic two-form ω.

This paper is organized as follows: First we give a summary of embedding of $SO(2N+1)$ Bogoliubov transformation into $SO(2N+2)$ group. In section 3 we provide a differential form for boson over $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset space which brings Dyson rep for paired and unpaired operators. In section 4 we give a matrix-valued generator coordinate and non-Euclidian transformation. In section 5 we derive the classical TD $SO(2N+1)$ Lagrangian. In section 6 the RPA vacuum is obtained using the Dyson rep and the existence of the symplectic two-form ω is shown. Finally, in the last section, we give some discussions and further perspective.

2 Summary of embedding of SO(2N + 1) Bogoliubov transformation into SO(2N+2) group

Let c_α and $c_\alpha^\dagger$, $\alpha=1,\cdots, N$, be annihilation and creation operators of the fermion satisfying the canonical anti-commutation relations

$$\{c_\alpha,\ c_\beta^\dagger\}=\delta_{\alpha\beta},\quad \{c_\alpha,\ c_\beta\}=\{c_\alpha^\dagger,\ c_\beta^\dagger\}=0. \tag{2.1}$$

We introduce the following annihilation and creation operators and pair operators:

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} c_\alpha,\ c_\alpha^\dagger,\quad E^\alpha{}_\beta=c_\alpha^\dagger c_\beta-\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta},\quad E^{\alpha\beta}=c_\alpha^\dagger c_\beta^\dagger,\quad E_{\alpha\beta}=c_\alpha c_\beta, \\ E^{\alpha\dagger}{}_\beta=E^\beta{}_\alpha,\quad E^{\alpha\beta}=E^\dagger_{\beta\alpha},\quad E_{\alpha\beta}=-E_{\beta\alpha}.\ \ (\alpha,\beta=1,\cdots,N) \end{array}\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

The fermion operators (2.2) form an $SO(2N+1)$ Lie algebra. Due to the anti-commutation relation (2.1), the commutation relations for the operators in the $SO(2N+1)$ Lie algebra are

$$[E^\alpha{}_\beta,\ E^\gamma{}_\delta]=\delta_{\gamma\beta}E^\alpha{}_\delta-\delta_{\alpha\delta}E^\gamma{}_\beta,\quad (U(N)\text{ algebra}) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} [E^\alpha{}_\beta,\ E_{\gamma\delta}]=\delta_{\alpha\delta}E_{\beta\gamma}-\delta_{\alpha\gamma}E_{\beta\delta},\quad [E_{\alpha\beta},\ E_{\gamma\delta}]=0, \\ [E^{\alpha\beta},\ E_{\gamma\delta}]=\delta_{\alpha\delta}E^\beta{}_\gamma+\delta_{\beta\gamma}E^\alpha{}_\delta-\delta_{\alpha\gamma}E^\beta{}_\delta-\delta_{\beta\delta}E^\alpha{}_\gamma \end{array}\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} [c_\alpha^\dagger,\ c_\beta]=2E^\alpha{}_\beta,\ [c_\alpha,\ c_\beta]=2E_{\alpha\beta}, \\ [c_\alpha,\ E^\beta{}_\gamma]=\delta_{\alpha\beta}c_\gamma,\ [c_\alpha,\ E_{\beta\gamma}]=0, \\ [c_\alpha,\ E^{\beta\gamma}]=\delta_{\alpha\beta}c_\gamma^\dagger-\delta_{\alpha\gamma}c_\beta^\dagger. \end{array}\right\} \tag{2.5}$$

We omit the commutation relations obtained by hermitian conjugation of (2.4) and (2.5). The $SO(2N+1)$ Lie algebra of the fermion operators contains the $U(N)(=\{E^\alpha{}_\beta\})$ and the $SO(2N)(=\{E^\alpha{}_\beta,\ E^{\alpha\beta},\ E_{\alpha\beta}\})$ Lie algebras of the pair operators as sub-algebras.

An $SO(2N)$ canonical transformation $U(g)$ is the generalized Bogoliubov transformation [1] specified by an $SO(2N)$ matrix g

$$U(g)(c,c^\dagger)U^\dagger(g)=(c,c^\dagger)g,\quad g\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\begin{bmatrix} a & \overline{b} \\ b & \overline{a}\end{bmatrix},\quad g^\dagger g=gg^\dagger=1_{2N},\ \det g=1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$U(g)U(g')=U(gg'),\quad U(g^{-1})=U^{-1}(g)=U^\dagger(g),\quad U(1_{2N})=\mathbb{I}_g\ (\text{unit operator on } g). \tag{2.7}$$

$(c,\ c^\dagger)$ is a $2N$-dimensional row vector $((c_\alpha),\ (c_\alpha^\dagger))$. $a=(a^\alpha{}_\beta)$ and $b=(b_{\alpha\beta})$ are $N\times N$ matrices. The bar denotes the complex conjugation. The HB ($SO(2N)$) WF $|\,g>$ is generated as $|\,g>=U(g)|\,0>$ ($|\,0>$: vacuum satisfying $c_\alpha|\,0>=0$). The matrix g is composed of matrices the a and b satisfying the ortho-normalization condition. The $|\,g>$ is expressed as follows:

$$|\,g>=<0\,|U(g)|\,0>\exp(\frac{1}{2}\cdot q_{\alpha\beta}c_\alpha^\dagger c_\beta^\dagger)|\,0>, \tag{2.8}$$

$$<0\,|U(g)|\,0>=\overline{\Phi}_{00}(g)=[\det(a)]^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left[\det(1_N+q^\dagger q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}}\ , \tag{2.9}$$

$$q=ba^{-1}=-q^{\mathrm{T}},\ (\text{variables of the } \tfrac{SO(2N)}{U(N)} \text{ coset space}),\ \tau=\frac{i}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\det(\overline{a})}{\det(a)}\right], \tag{2.10}$$

where det means determinant and the symbol T denotes the transposition.

The canonical anti-commutation relation gives us not only the above Lie algebras but also the other three algebras. Let n be the fermion number operator $n=c_\alpha^\dagger c_\alpha$. The operator $(-1)^n$ anticommutes with c_α and $c_\alpha^\dagger$;

$$\{c_\alpha,\ (-1)^n\}=\{c_\alpha^\dagger,\ (-1)^n\}=0. \tag{2.11}$$

Introduce an operator Θ defined by $\Theta{\equiv}\theta_\alpha c_\alpha^\dagger{-}\overline{\theta}_\alpha c_\alpha$. Due to the relation $\Theta^2{=}{-}\overline{\theta}_\alpha\theta_\alpha$, we have

$$\left.\begin{aligned} e^\Theta &= Z + X_\alpha c_\alpha^\dagger - \overline{X}_\alpha c_\alpha, \quad \overline{X}_\alpha X_\alpha + Z^2 = 1, \\ Z &= \cos\theta, \quad X_\alpha = \frac{\theta_\alpha}{\theta}\sin\theta, \quad \theta^2 = \overline{\theta}_\alpha\theta_\alpha. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.12, 2.13}$$

From (2.1), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\left.\begin{aligned} e^\Theta(c_\alpha, c_\alpha^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(-1)^n e^{-\Theta} &= (c_\beta, c_\beta^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(-1)^n G_X, \\ G_X &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{\beta\alpha} - \overline{X}_\beta X_\alpha & \overline{X}_\beta\overline{X}_\alpha & -\sqrt{2}Z\overline{X}_\beta \\ X_\beta X_\alpha & \delta_{\beta\alpha} - X_\beta\overline{X}_\alpha & \sqrt{2}ZX_\beta \\ \sqrt{2}ZX_\alpha & -\sqrt{2}Z\overline{X}_\alpha & 2Z^2-1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.14}$$

Let G be the $(2N+1)\times(2N+1)$ matrix defined by

$$\left.\begin{aligned} G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_X \begin{bmatrix} a & \overline{b} & 0 \\ b & \overline{a} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a - \overline{X}Y & \overline{b} + \overline{X}\overline{Y} & -\sqrt{2}Z\overline{X} \\ b + XY & \overline{a} - X\overline{Y} & \sqrt{2}ZX \\ \sqrt{2}ZY & -\sqrt{2}Z\overline{Y} & 2Z^2-1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} X_\alpha &= \overline{a}^\alpha{}_\beta Y_\beta - b_{\alpha\beta}\overline{Y}_\beta, \\ Y_\alpha &= X_\beta a^\beta{}_\alpha - \overline{X}_\beta b_{\beta\alpha}, \\ \overline{Y}_\alpha Y_\alpha &+ Z^2 = 1, \end{aligned} \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.15}$$

where X and Y are the column vector and the row vector, respectively. The $SO(2N{+}1)$ canonical transformation $U(G)$ is generated by the fermion $SO(2N{+}1)$ Lie operators. The $U(G)$ is an extension of the generalized Bogoliubov transformation $U(g)$ [1] to a nonlinear transformation and is specified by the $SO(2N{+}1)$ matrix G. We identify this G with the argument G of $U(G)$. Then $U(G){=}U(G_X)U(g)$ and $U(G_X){=}\exp(\Theta)$.

From (2.6), (2.14) and (2.15) and the commutability of $U(g)$ with $(-1)^n$, we obtain

$$U(G)(c_\alpha, c_\alpha^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(-1)^n U^\dagger(G) = (c_\beta, c_\beta^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(-1)^n \begin{bmatrix} A_{\beta\alpha} & \overline{B}_{\beta\alpha} & -\frac{\overline{x}_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ B_{\beta\alpha} & \overline{A}_{\beta\alpha} & \frac{x_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{y_\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\overline{y}_\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} & z \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &A_{\alpha\beta} \equiv a_{\alpha\beta} - \overline{X}_\alpha Y_\beta = a_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\overline{x}_\alpha y_\beta}{2(1+z)}, \quad B_{\alpha\beta} \equiv b_{\alpha\beta} + X_\alpha Y_\beta = b_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{x_\alpha y_\beta}{2(1+z)}, \\ &x_\alpha \equiv 2ZX_\alpha,\ y_\alpha \equiv 2ZY_\alpha,\ z \equiv 2Z^2 - 1. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.17}$$

By using the relation $U(G)(c, c^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})U^\dagger(G) = U(G)(c, c^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})U^\dagger(G)(z{+}\rho)(-1)^n$ and the third column equation of (2.16), Eq. (2.16) can be written as

$$U(G)(c, c^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})U^\dagger(G) = (c, c^\dagger, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})(z-\rho)G, \tag{2.18}$$

$$G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} A & \overline{B} & -\frac{\overline{x}}{\sqrt{2}} \\ B & \overline{A} & \frac{x}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{\overline{y}}{\sqrt{2}} & z \end{bmatrix}, \quad G^\dagger G = GG^\dagger = 1_{2N+1}, \quad \det G = 1, \tag{2.19}$$

$$U(G)U(G') = U(GG'),\ U(G^{-1}) = U^{-1}(G) = U^\dagger(G),\ U(1_{2N+1}) = \mathbb{I}_G \text{ (unit operator on } G). \tag{2.20}$$

$(c,\ c^\dagger,\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a $(2N+1)$-dimensional row vector $((c_\alpha),\ (c_\alpha^\dagger),\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$. $A=(A^\alpha{}_\beta)$ and $B=(B_{\alpha\beta})$ are $N\times N$ matrices. The $U(G)$ is a nonlinear transformation with a q-number gauge factor $z-\rho,\ \rho\equiv x_\alpha c_\alpha^\dagger-\overline{x}_\alpha c_\alpha$ and $\rho^2=-\overline{x}_\alpha x_\alpha=z^2-1$ [4]. The matrix G is a matrix belonging to the $SO(2N+1)$ group, which is transformed to a real $(2N+1)$-dimensional orthogonal matrix as

$$O=VGV^{-1},\quad V=\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot 1_N & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot 1_N & 0 \\ -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot 1_N & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\cdot 1_N & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.21}$$

The $SO(2N+1)$ WF $|\,G>=U(G)|\,0>$ [12, 7] is expressed as

$$|\,G>=<0\,|U(G)|\,0>(1+r_\alpha c_\alpha^\dagger)\exp(\frac{1}{2}\cdot q_{\alpha\beta}c_\alpha^\dagger c_\beta^\dagger)|\,0>,\quad r_\alpha\equiv\frac{1}{1+z}(x_\alpha+q_{\alpha\beta}\overline{x}_\beta), \tag{2.22}$$

$$<0\,|\,U(G)\,|\,0>=\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)=\sqrt{\frac{1+z}{2}}\left[\det(1_N+q^\dagger q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{4}}e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}}. \tag{2.23}$$

Following Fukutome [12], we define the projection operators P_+ and P_- onto the subspaces of even and odd fermion numbers, respectively, by

$$P_\pm\overset{\text{def}}{=}\frac{1}{2}(1\pm(-1)^n),\quad P_\pm^2=P_\pm,\quad P_+P_-=0, \tag{2.24}$$

and define the following operators with the supurious index 0:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &E^0{}_0\overset{\text{def}}{=}-\frac{1}{2}(-1)^n=\frac{1}{2}(P_--P_+),\\ &E^\alpha{}_0\overset{\text{def}}{=}c_\alpha^\dagger P_-=P_+c_\alpha^\dagger,\quad E^0{}_\alpha\overset{\text{def}}{=}c_\alpha P_+=P_-c_\alpha,\\ &E^{\alpha 0}\overset{\text{def}}{=}-c_\alpha^\dagger P_+=-P_-c_\alpha^\dagger,\quad E^{0\alpha}\overset{\text{def}}{=}-E^{\alpha 0},\\ &E_{\alpha 0}\overset{\text{def}}{=}c_\alpha P_-=P_+c_\alpha,\quad E_{0\alpha}\overset{\text{def}}{=}-E_{\alpha 0}. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.25}$$

The annihilation-creation operators can be expressed in terms of the operators (2.25) as

$$c_\alpha=E_{\alpha 0}+E^0{}_\alpha,\quad c_\alpha^\dagger=-E^{\alpha 0}+E^\alpha{}_0. \tag{2.26}$$

We introduce the indices $p,q,\cdots$ running over $(N+1)$ values $0,1,\cdots,N$. Then the operators of (2.2) and (2.25) can be denoted in a unified manner as $E^p{}_q,\ E_{pq}$ and E^{pq}. They satisfy

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &E^{p\dagger}_q=E^q{}_p,\quad E^{pq}=E^\dagger_{qp},\quad E_{pq}=-E_{qp},\quad (p,q=0,1,\cdots,\ N)\\ &[E^p{}_q,\ E^r{}_s]=\delta_{qr}E^p{}_s-\delta_{ps}E^r{}_q,\quad (U(N+1)\text{ algebra})\\ &\left.\begin{aligned} &[E^p{}_q,\ E_{rs}]=\delta_{ps}E_{qr}-\delta_{pr}E_{qs},\quad [E_{pq},\ E_{rs}]=0,\\ &[E^{pq},\ E_{rs}]=\delta_{ps}E^q{}_r+\delta_{qr}E^p{}_s-\delta_{pr}E^q{}_s-\delta_{qs}E^p{}_r. \end{aligned}\right] \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.27}$$

The above commutation relations in (2.27) are of the same form as (2.3) and (2.4).

Instead of (2.25), it is possible to employ the operators

$$\tilde{E}^0{}_0=\frac{1}{2}(-1)^n=\frac{1}{2}(P_+-P_-),\quad \tilde{E}^\alpha{}_0=c_\alpha^\dagger P_+,\quad \tilde{E}^0{}_\alpha=c_\alpha P_-. \tag{2.28}$$

Denoting $E^\alpha{}_\beta\equiv\tilde{E}^\alpha{}_\beta$, it is shown that the operators $\tilde{E}^p{}_q,\ p,\ q=0,\ 1,\ \cdots,\ N$, satisfy

$$\tilde{E}^{p\dagger}_q=\tilde{E}^q{}_p,\quad [\tilde{E}^p{}_q,\ \tilde{E}^r{}_s]=\delta_{qr}\tilde{E}^p{}_s-\delta_{ps}\tilde{E}^r{}_q.\quad (\tilde{U}(N+1)\text{ algebra}) \tag{2.29}$$

The Lie algebra $\tilde{U}(N+1)$ is a $U(N+1)$ Lie algebra but it is not unitarily equivalent to $U(N+1)$.

The $SO(2N{+}1)$ group is embedded into an $SO(2N{+}2)$ group. The embedding leads us to an unified formulation of the $SO(2N{+}1)$ regular representation in which paired and unpaired modes are treated in an equal way. Define $(N{+}1)\times(N{+}1)$ matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ as

$$\mathcal{A}=\begin{bmatrix} A & -\dfrac{\overline{x}}{2} \\ \dfrac{y}{2} & \dfrac{1+z}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}=\begin{bmatrix} B & \dfrac{x}{2} \\ -\dfrac{y}{2} & \dfrac{1-z}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad y=x^{\mathrm{T}}a-x^{\dagger}b. \tag{2.30}$$

Imposing the ortho-normalization of the G, matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ satisfy the ortho-normalization condition and then form an $SO(2N{+}2)$ matrix $\mathcal{G}$ [7] represented as

$$\mathcal{G}=\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \overline{\mathcal{B}} \\ \mathcal{B} & \overline{\mathcal{A}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G}^{\dagger}\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}=1_{2N+2}, \tag{2.31}$$

which means the ortho-normalization conditions of the $N{+}1$-dimensional HB amplitudes

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}^{\dagger}\mathcal{B}=1_{N+1}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{A}=0, \\ \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}+\overline{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}=1_{N+1}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}=0. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.32}$$

The matrix $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies $\det\mathcal{G}=1$ as is proved easily below

$$\det\mathcal{G}=\det\Big(\mathcal{A}-\overline{\mathcal{B}}\,\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\mathcal{B}\Big)\det\overline{\mathcal{A}}=\det\Big(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}-\overline{\mathcal{B}}\,\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\Big)=1. \tag{2.33}$$

By using (2.17) and (2.15), the matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{A}=\begin{bmatrix} 1_N-\dfrac{\overline{x}r^{\mathrm{T}}}{2} & -\dfrac{\overline{x}}{2} \\ \dfrac{(1+z)r^{\mathrm{T}}}{2} & \dfrac{1+z}{2} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{B}=\begin{bmatrix} 1_N+\dfrac{xr^{\mathrm{T}}q^{-1}}{2} & \dfrac{x}{2} \\ -\dfrac{(1+z)r^{\mathrm{T}}q^{-1}}{2} & \dfrac{1-z}{2} \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} b & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2.34}$$

from which we get the inverse of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ and a $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variable $\mathcal{Q}$ as

$$\mathcal{A}^{-1}=\begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 1_N & \dfrac{\overline{x}}{1+z} \\ -r^{\mathrm{T}} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1}=\begin{bmatrix} q & r \\ -r^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}=-\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{2.35}$$

The variables $q_{\alpha\beta}$ and r_{α} are independent variables of the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset space. The paired mode $q_{\alpha\beta}$ and unpaired mode r_{α} variables in the $SO(2N{+}1)$ algebra are unified as the paired variables in the $SO(2N{+}2)$ algebra[7].We denote the $(N{+}1)$-dimension of the matrix Q by the index 0 and use the indices $p,\ q,\ \cdots$ running over 0 and $\alpha,\ \beta,\ \cdots$. Using the $(2N{+}2)\times(N{+}1)$ isometric matrix $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{T}}{=}[\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}},\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}],\mathcal{U}^{\dagger}\mathcal{U}{=}1_{N+1})$, let us introduce the $(2N{+}2)\times(2N{+}2)$ matrix $\mathcal{W}$:

$$\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}^{\dagger}=\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{K} \\ -\overline{\mathcal{K}} & 1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{R}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}&=\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^{\dagger}, \\ \mathcal{K}&=\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

which satisfies the idempotency relation $\mathcal{W}^2{=}\mathcal{W}$ and is hermitian on the $SO(2N{+}2)$ group. The $\mathcal{W}$ is a natural extension of the generalized density matrix in the $SO(2N)$ coherent state (CS) rep to that in the $SO(2N{+}2)$ CS rep. As was shown also in Ref. [13], both the matrices $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and both the matrices $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are represented in terms of only $\mathcal{Q}{=}(\mathcal{Q}_{pq})$ as

$$\mathcal{A}=(1_{N+1}+\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}\mathcal{Q})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\mathring{\mathcal{U}}, \quad \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{Q}(1_{N+1}+\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}\mathcal{Q})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\mathring{\mathcal{U}}, \quad \mathring{\mathcal{U}}\in U(N+1), \tag{2.37}$$

$$\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{Q}(1_{N+1}+\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}\mathcal{Q})^{-1}\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}=1_{N+1}-(1_{N+1}+\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger})^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{K}=\mathcal{Q}(1_{N+1}+\mathcal{Q}^{\dagger}\mathcal{Q})^{-1}. \tag{2.38}$$

Finally, the Hamiltonian of the fermion system under consideration is given as

$$H=h_{\alpha\beta}\left(E^{\alpha}_{\ \beta}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\right)+\frac{1}{4}[\alpha\beta|\gamma\delta]E^{\alpha\gamma}E_{\delta\beta}, \tag{2.39}$$

in which $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is a single-particle hamiltonian with a chemical potential and $[\alpha\beta|\gamma\delta]{=}{-}[\alpha\delta|\gamma\beta]{=}[\gamma\delta|\alpha\beta]{=}\overline{[\beta\alpha|\delta\gamma]}$ are anti-symmetrized matrix elements of an interaction potential.

3 Differential form for boson over $\frac{\mathbf{SO(2N+2)}}{\mathbf{U(N+1)}}$ coset space

The boson images $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}^p_q}$ etc. of the operators $E^p{}_q$ etc. in (2.27) are represented by the closed first order differential forms over the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset space in terms of the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variables $\mathcal{Q}_{pq}$ and the phase variable $\tau\left(=\frac{i}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\det(\overline{A})}{\det(A)}\right]\right)$ of the $U(N+1)$, being identical with that of the $U(N)$, $\tau\left(=\frac{i}{2}\ln\left[\frac{\det(\overline{a})}{\det(a)}\right]\right)$, due to the relation $\det\mathcal{A}=\frac{1+z}{2}\det a$, in the following forms:

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}^p_q}=\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pr}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{qr}}-\mathcal{Q}_{qr}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathcal{Q}_{pr}}-i\delta_{pq}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau},\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}_{pq}}=\mathcal{Q}_{pr}\mathcal{Q}_{sq}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathcal{Q}_{rs}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pq}}-i\mathcal{Q}_{pq}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau},\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}^{pq}}=\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}_{\boldsymbol{pq}},\quad(3.1)$$

which are derived in a way quite analogous to the $SO(2N)$ case of the fermion Lie operators. From (3.1), we can get the images of the fermion $SO(2N+1)$ Lie operators, namely, the representations of the $SO(2N+1)$ Lie operators in terms of the variables $q_{\alpha\beta}$ and r_α [14]:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{E}^\alpha{}_\beta=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\overline{r}_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\beta}-r_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial r_\alpha},\ \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\equiv\overline{q}_{\alpha\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\beta\gamma}}-q_{\beta\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha\gamma}}-i\delta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\ ,\\
&\boldsymbol{E}_{\alpha\beta}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}_{\alpha\beta}}=\boldsymbol{e_{\alpha\beta}}+(r_\alpha q_{\beta\xi}-r_\beta q_{\alpha\xi})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_\xi},\ \boldsymbol{e_{\alpha\beta}}\equiv q_{\alpha\gamma}q_{\delta\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\gamma\delta}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\alpha\beta}}-iq_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\ ,\\
&\boldsymbol{E}^{\alpha\beta}=\overline{\boldsymbol{E}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}^{\alpha\beta}}=\boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}+(\overline{r}_\alpha\overline{q}_{\beta\xi}-\overline{r}_\beta\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi})\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\xi},\ \boldsymbol{e}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}\equiv\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}=\overline{q}_{\alpha\gamma}\overline{q}_{\delta\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\gamma\delta}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha\beta}}+i\overline{q}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau},
\end{aligned}\right\}(3.2)$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{c_\alpha}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}_{0\alpha}}-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\boldsymbol{0}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\alpha}+\overline{r}_\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi}}+(r_\alpha r_\xi-q_{\alpha\xi})\frac{\partial}{\partial r_\xi}-q_{\alpha\xi}r_\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\xi\eta}}+ir_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau},\\
&\boldsymbol{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}_{0\alpha}}-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}^{\boldsymbol{0}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=-\overline{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r_\alpha}-r_\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\alpha\xi}}-(\overline{r}_\alpha\overline{r}_\xi-\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi})\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\xi}+\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi}\overline{r}_\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\xi\eta}}-i\overline{r}_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}.
\end{aligned}\right\}\quad(3.3)$$

The vacuum function $\Phi_{00}(G)$ satisfies $\boldsymbol{c_\alpha}\Phi_{00}(G)=0$ and $\boldsymbol{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\Phi_{00}(G)=\overline{r}_\alpha\Phi_{00}(G)$.

Operating (3.2) and (3.3) on the function $\chi(\overline{Q},\tau)\Phi_{00}(G)$, we define the operators $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^\alpha{}_\beta$ etc. as $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}\chi(\overline{Q},\tau)\Phi_{00}(G)=\Phi_{00}(G)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}\chi(\overline{Q},\tau)$. Then, we get

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^\alpha{}_\beta=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\overline{r}_\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\beta},\quad\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}=\overline{q}_{\alpha\gamma}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\beta\gamma}},\\
&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{\alpha\beta}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}},\quad\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\alpha\beta}},\\
&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}^{\alpha\beta}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}+\left(\overline{r}_\alpha\overline{q}_{\beta\xi}-\overline{r}_\beta\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\xi},\quad\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha\beta}}=\overline{q}_{\alpha\beta}+\overline{q}_{\alpha\gamma}\overline{q}_{\delta\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\gamma\delta}},
\end{aligned}\right\}\quad(3.4)$$

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\alpha}+\overline{r}_\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi}},\\
&\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\overline{r}_\alpha+\left(\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi}-\overline{r}_\alpha\overline{r}_\xi\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\xi}+\overline{q}_{\alpha\xi}\overline{r}_\eta\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\xi\eta}}.
\end{aligned}\right\}\quad(3.5)$$

The $\chi(\overline{Q},\tau)$ is not necessarily antisymmetric and valid in a space wider than the $SO(2N+1)$ spinor space. Eq. (3.4) is identical with the Dyson rep [15, 16, 17, 7] for the paired operators if we regard $-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{\alpha\beta}}$ - $-\overline{q}_{\alpha\beta}$ formally as boson annihilation-creation operators with double indices. Eq. (3.5) is also identical with the Dyson rep for the unpaired operators, regarding $-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{r}_\alpha}$ - $-\overline{r}_\alpha$ formally as boson annihilation-creation operators with a single index. Equations (3.4) and (3.5) are exact extensions of the Dyson rep to include paired and unpaired modes. They provide a consistent boson formalism covering even and odd fermion-number systems.

4 Matrix-valued generator coordinate and modified non-Euclidian transformation

Consider a fermion state vector $|\Psi>$ corresponding to a function $\Psi(\mathcal{G})$ in $\mathcal{G}\in SO(2N+2)$,

$$|\Psi>=\int U(\mathcal{G})|0><0|U^{\dagger}(\mathcal{G})|\Psi>d\mathcal{G}=\int U(\mathcal{G})|0>\Psi(\mathcal{G})d\mathcal{G}, \tag{4. 1}$$

and a state $|f\rangle$, an exact representaion on the $SO(2N+1)$,

$$|f\rangle = 2^N\int U(G)|0\rangle\langle 0|U^{\dagger}(G)|f\rangle dG = 2^N\int |G\rangle\Phi_{0f}(G)dG, \tag{4. 2}$$

where $d\mathcal{G}$ and dG are invariant group integrations over the $SO(2N+2)$ and $SO(2N+1)$ groups. From (4. 1) the invariance of group measure of transformations by any group element leads to

$$U(G)|f\rangle = 2^N\int |G'\rangle\Phi_{0f}(G^{\dagger}G')dG', \tag{4. 3}$$

which shows that the canonical transformation to the G frame corresponds to a mere left coordinate transformation by $G^{\dagger}$ of the matrix-valued generator coordinate G'. Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ be the $SO(2N+2)$ matrices corresponding to G and G', respectively. The $\mathcal{G}$ is given by (2.30) and (2.31). Instead of $\mathcal{G}$, let us introduce the matrix-valued generator coordinate $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ in the $\mathcal{G}$ frame by $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}\mathcal{G}'$. Then, conversely, the $\mathcal{G}'$ is represented as

$$\mathcal{G}'=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}' & \overline{\mathcal{B}}'\\ \mathcal{B}' & \overline{\mathcal{A}}'\end{bmatrix}=\mathcal{G}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A} & \overline{\mathcal{B}}\\ \mathcal{B} & \overline{\mathcal{A}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\tilde{\mathcal{A}} & \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}\\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}} & \overline{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}+\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} & \mathcal{A}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}+\overline{\mathcal{B}}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}\\ \mathcal{B}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} & \mathcal{B}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{4. 4}$$

From (4. 4) and the definition of $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ as $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\equiv\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ in the coordinate $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, we obtain the relations

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}'&=\mathcal{A}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}+\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\left\{\mathcal{A}+\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\right\}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{\mathcal{A}+\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{A}\left\{1_{N+1}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},\\ \mathcal{B}'&=\mathcal{B}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\left\{\mathcal{B}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}\right\}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{\mathcal{B}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}.\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4. 5}$$

Similarly, from $\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}\mathcal{G}=1_{N+1}$ ($\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}^{\dagger}\mathcal{B}=1_{N+1}$) and $\mathcal{Q}$ ($\mathcal{Q}\equiv\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1}$) in the coordinate $\mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}+(\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathcal{B}}=\overline{\mathcal{A}}-\mathcal{Q}\overline{\mathcal{B}}=(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}. \tag{4. 6}$$

Define a variable $\mathcal{Q}'\equiv\mathcal{B}'\mathcal{A}'^{-1}$ in the coordinate $\mathcal{G}'$. An $SO(2N+2)$ WF generated by a canonical transformation to $\mathcal{G}'$ frame takes a function of the generator coordinate $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$: $|\mathcal{G}'\rangle=U(\mathcal{G}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})|0>$ owing to the relation $\mathcal{G}'=\mathcal{G}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Using (4. 5) and (4. 6), the variable $\mathcal{Q}'$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}'&=\left\{\mathcal{B}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}\left\{1_{N+1}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}^{-1}\mathcal{A}^{-1}=\left[\mathcal{B}\left\{1_{N+1}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}+\left\{-\mathcal{Q}\overline{\mathcal{B}}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}\right\}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right]\left\{1_{N+1}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}^{-1}\mathcal{A}^{-1}\\ &=\mathcal{Q}+(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left\{1_{N+1}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}^{-1}\mathcal{A}^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{4. 7}$$

Let us introduce following matrices $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{E}$:

$$\mathcal{R}\equiv-(\overline{\mathcal{A}})^{-1}\mathcal{B},\quad \mathcal{P}\equiv(\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{A}^{-1},\quad \mathcal{E}\equiv\overline{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}=-\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}=-\mathcal{Q}(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{Q})^{-1}. \tag{4. 8}$$

Then, the $\mathcal{Q}'$ is rewritten as

$$\mathcal{Q}'=\mathcal{Q}+\mathcal{P}\left\{(\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A}\overline{\mathcal{R}}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}})\mathcal{A}^{-1}\right\}^{-1}=\mathcal{Q}+\mathcal{P}(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{A}\overline{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{P})^{-1}=\mathcal{Q}+\mathcal{P}(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{P})^{-1}, \tag{4. 9}$$

whose transformation rule causes non-Euclidian properties of the coset variables because the coset variables are quantities defined on the non-commutative $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ Grassmann manifold.

Finally, we define the overlap integral of $SO(2N+1)$ WFs

$$S(G,G')=\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G^{\dagger}G')=\langle 0|U^{\dagger}(G)U(G')|0\rangle,\ S(G,G)=1. \tag{4. 10}$$

Multiplying Eq. (4. 2) by $\langle 0|U^{\dagger}(G)$, we have

$$\Phi_{0f}(G)=2^N\int S(G,G')\Phi_{0f}(G')dG', \tag{4. 11}$$

in which it is easily verified that the overlap integral $S(G,G')$ satisfies

$$S(G,G')=2^N\int S(G,G'')S(G'',G')dG''. \tag{4. 12}$$

This shows the $2^NS(G,G')$ is just the projection operator to the $SO(2N+1)$ spinor space. Putting $\widetilde{G}=G^\dagger G'$ in (4. 10) and using the same representations as those of (4. 5), we have

$$\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G^\dagger G')=\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\tilde{G})=\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})=[\det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{4. 13}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} & \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} & \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}\end{bmatrix}=\mathcal{G}^\dagger\mathcal{G}'=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}^\dagger & \mathcal{B}^\dagger\\ \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}' & \overline{\mathcal{B}'}\\ \mathcal{B}' & \overline{\mathcal{A}'}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}^\dagger\mathcal{A}'+\mathcal{B}^\dagger\mathcal{B}' & \mathcal{A}^\dagger\overline{\mathcal{B}'}+\mathcal{B}^\dagger\overline{\mathcal{A}'}\\ \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{A}'+\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{B}' & \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathcal{B}'}+\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathcal{B}'}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{4. 14}$$

Then, an explicit expression for the overlap integral is obtained as

$$S(G,G')=[\det(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}=\det(\mathcal{A}^\dagger\mathcal{A}'+\mathcal{B}^\dagger\mathcal{B}')=D(\mathcal{Q}'\overline{\mathcal{Q}})\Phi_{00}(G)\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G'),\ D(\mathcal{Q}'\overline{\mathcal{Q}})\equiv\left[\det\left(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{Q}'\overline{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4. 15}$$

Taking the coordinate $\mathcal{G}'$ instead of the generator coordinate $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ in (4. 13), we have

$$\Phi_{00}(\mathcal{G}')=\langle 0|U^\dagger(\mathcal{G}')|0\rangle=[\det(\overline{\mathcal{A}'})]^{\frac{1}{2}},\quad \mathcal{G}'=\mathcal{G}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}. \tag{4. 16}$$

Through (4. 5) and (4. 8), computation of a determinant of $\overline{\mathcal{A}'}$ is carried out as

$$\begin{aligned}[\det(\overline{\mathcal{A}'})]^{\frac{1}{2}}&=[\det(\overline{\mathcal{A}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\det(\overline{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}[\det(\overline{1_{N+1}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &=\Phi_{00}(\mathcal{G})\Phi_{00}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})[\det(\overline{\mathcal{A}^{-1}\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}=\Phi_{00}(\mathcal{G})\Phi_{00}(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})[\det(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4. 17}$$

By using (4. 9), the $SO(2N+1)$ spinor function $\Phi_{0f}(G')$ can be written as

$$\Phi_{0f}(G')=\chi_f(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}')\Phi_{00}(G')=\chi_f\left\{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}+\overline{P}\left(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)^{-1}\right\}D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})\Phi_{00}(G)\Phi_{00}(\tilde{G}), \tag{4. 18}$$

where $\chi_f(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}')$ is an anti-symmetric polynomial of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}'_{pq}$ and $D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})\equiv[\det(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We have used the non-Euclidian transformation (4. 9) and (4. 16) and (4. 17). From (4. 18) we have

$$\Phi_{0f}(G')=\chi_f(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}+K)D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})\Phi_{00}(G)\Phi_{00}(\tilde{G}),\quad K\equiv\overline{\mathcal{P}}(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})^{-1}. \tag{4. 19}$$

A differential formula for $D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}_{pq}$ is easily given as

$$\frac{\partial D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{pq}}=\frac{\partial\det(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})}{\partial(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})_{rs}}\frac{\partial(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})_{rs}}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{pq}}=K_{pq}D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}), \tag{4. 20}$$

where we have used the formula $\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathcal{A}^p_{\ q}}\det\mathcal{A}=(\mathcal{A}^{-1})_p^{\ q}\det\mathcal{A}$ for a regular matrix $1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\bar{\mathcal{P}}=((1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})_{rs})$. As for the second differential for $D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})$, it is carried out as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{rs}\partial\mathcal{E}_{pq}}&=\frac{\partial K_{qp}}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{rs}}D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)+K_{sr}K_{qp}D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)=\left[\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{qp'}\frac{\partial\left(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)^{-1}_{p'p}}{\partial\left(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)_{uv}}\frac{\partial\left(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)_{uv}}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{rs}}+K_{sr}K_{qp}\right]D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)\\ &=[K_{qp}K_{sr}-K_{qr}K_{sp}]D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)=\mathfrak{A}(K_{qp}K_{sr})D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4. 21}$$

where $\mathfrak{A}(K_{qp}K_{sr})$ is the anti-symmetrized product of $K_{qp}K_{sr}$. Then, successive differential calculation to higher orders lead to a general differential formula

$$\frac{\partial^lD(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{pq}\cdots\partial\mathcal{E}_{uv}}=\mathfrak{A}(K_{pq}\cdots K_{uv})D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right). \tag{4. 22}$$

Applying the differential formulas of $D(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}})$ with respect to $\mathcal{E}_{pq}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{uv}$, the Tayler expansion of $\chi_f\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}+K\right)$ in (4. 27) with respect to K is made as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_f\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathcal{E}}\right)D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)&=\chi_f\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}\right)D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)+\textstyle\sum_{p<q}\dfrac{\partial\chi_f\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}\right)}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pq}}\cdot\dfrac{\partial D\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{pq}}+\cdots\\ &+\textstyle\sum_{l=2,3,\cdots}\sum_{p<\cdots<v}\dfrac{\partial^l\chi_f\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}\right)}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pq}\cdots\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{uv}}\cdot\dfrac{\partial^lD\left(\mathcal{E}\overline{\mathcal{P}}\right)}{\partial\mathcal{E}_{pq}\cdots\partial\mathcal{E}_{uv}}+\cdots.\end{aligned} \tag{4. 23}$$

From now on we consider a fluctuation $\widetilde{G}_0$ around a stationary ground state $|G_0\rangle$. Due to the last relation of (4. 7), instead of (4. 7), we have a modified non-Euclidian transformation

$$\mathcal{Q}' = \mathcal{Q}_0 + (\mathcal{A}_0^{\rm T})^{-1}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\left\{1_{N+1} - \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_0\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\right\}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_0^{-1},\ \mathcal{Q}_0 \equiv \mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{A}_0^{-1},\ \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_0 \equiv -\mathcal{A}_0^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{B}}_0. \tag{4. 24}$$

On the other hand, from (2.37), we have the expressions for $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}_0$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \left(1_{N+1} - \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathring{\mathcal{U}}_0,\quad \mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{Q}_0\left(1_{N+1} - \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}_0\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathring{\mathcal{U}}_0,\quad \mathring{\mathcal{U}}_0\ = 1_{N+1}. \tag{4. 25}$$

Thus, we obtain an important relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0 = -\mathcal{Q}_0$. Let us carry modified quantities $\boldsymbol{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}$ given by $\boldsymbol{K}=\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}\left(1_{N+1}-\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}\right)^{-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}\right)=\left[\det\left(1_{N+1}-\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_0\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}=\boldsymbol{D}$. Then, we have the same types of the differential formulas as (4. 20) and (4. 22) with respect to $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}$ as

$$\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{D}}{\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}} = \boldsymbol{K}_{pq}\boldsymbol{D},\ \frac{\partial^n\boldsymbol{D}}{\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}\cdots\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}} = \mathfrak{A}(\boldsymbol{K}_{pq}\cdots\boldsymbol{K}_{uv})\boldsymbol{D},\ \left(\boldsymbol{D}=\textstyle\sum_n\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}\mathfrak{A}\left(\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{pq}\cdots\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{vw}\right)\right) \tag{4. 26}$$

We introduce a new differential operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}} = (\mathcal{A}_0^{-1})^\dagger_{pr}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0^{-1})_{sq}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{rs}} = \{(\mathcal{A}_0^{-1})^\dagger\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0^{-1})\}_{pq}$. The $SO(2N{+}1)$ spinor function $\chi(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})\Phi_{00}(G)$ is given in the same way as (4. 18) and written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})\Phi_{00}(G) = \Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(G_0)\chi\left\{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0 + (\mathcal{A}_0^{-1})^\dagger\boldsymbol{K}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0^{-1})\right\}\boldsymbol{D}\\
&= \Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(G_0)\chi\left\{\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0 + (\mathcal{A}_0^{-1})^\dagger\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0}(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0^{-1})\right\}\boldsymbol{D}\\
&= \Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(G_0)\left\{\chi\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\boldsymbol{D} + \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{D}}{\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}} + \frac{\partial^2\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{D}}{\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}\partial\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0rs}} + \cdots\right\}\\
&= \Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(G_0)\left\{\chi\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\textstyle\sum_n\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}\mathfrak{A}\left(\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{pq}\cdots\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{vw}\right)\right.\\
&+\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\textstyle\sum_n\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0rs}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}\mathfrak{A}\left(\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{pq}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{rs}\cdots\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{vw}\right)\\
&\left.+\frac{\partial^2\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{rs}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\textstyle\sum_n\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0tu}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}\mathfrak{A}\left(\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{pq}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{rs}\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{tu}\cdots\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{vw}\right) + \cdots\right\}\\
&= \Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(G_0)\textstyle\sum_n\left\{\chi\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0pq}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw} + \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0rs}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw}\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{\partial^2\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{rs}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0tu}\cdots\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{0vw} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^n\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}\cdots\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{vw}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\right\}\mathfrak{A}\left(\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{pq}\cdots\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{vw}\right)\\
&= \Phi_{00}(G_0)\textstyle\sum_n\left\{\chi\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\mathcal{E}_{0pq}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{0vw} + \frac{\partial\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\mathcal{E}_{0rs}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{0vw} + \frac{\partial^2\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{rs}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\mathcal{E}_{0tu}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{0vw}\right.\\
&\left.+\cdots+\frac{\partial^n\chi}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{pq}\cdots\partial\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{vw}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\right)\right\}\mathfrak{A}\left\{\overline{[(\mathcal{A}_0^{-1})^{\rm T}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{A}_0^{-1}]}_{pq}\cdots\overline{[(\mathcal{A}_0^{-1})^{\rm T}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{A}_0^{-1}]}_{vw}\right\}\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4. 27}$$

where we have used the modified matrix in (4. 8) $\mathcal{E}_{0pq}\equiv[\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0\mathcal{B}_0^{\rm T}]_{pq}$. Introduction of a new operator $\Delta^n_{pq\cdots vw} = \mathfrak{A}\left\{\mathcal{E}_{0pq}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{0vw} + \mathcal{E}_{0rs}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{0vw}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pq}} + \mathcal{E}_{0tu}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{0vw}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pq}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{rs}} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{pq}\cdots\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{rs}}\right\}$ leads to

$$\textstyle\sum_l\sum_{a<\cdots<g}\Delta^k_{pq\cdots vw}\overline{\Delta}^l_{ab\cdots fg}\left\{H^{ni}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}},\mathcal{Q}) - E^{ni}_\lambda S^{ni}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}},\mathcal{Q})\right\}C^{nil}_{ab\cdots fg,\lambda} = 0, \tag{4. 28}$$

which is called the projected $SO(2N{+}1)$ Tamm-Dancoff equation whose original form has already been given in Ref. [11]. The E_λ means an eigenvalue. The $H(\overline{\mathcal{Q}},\mathcal{Q})$ and $S(\overline{\mathcal{Q}},\mathcal{Q})$ are given soon later. Finally, the eigenvectors $C^{nil}_{ab\cdots fg,\lambda}$ are Tamm-Dancoff expansion coefficients.

5 Classical TD SO(2N + 1) Lagrangian

In this section we will derive a classical TD $SO(2N+1)$ Lagrangian describing collective excitations in even and odd Fermion systems, respectively. Following Ref. [10], the Lagransian of the TD $SO(2N+1)$ equation is given by

$$\mathcal{L}=-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\Big(<\Psi\,|\,\dot{\Psi}>-<\dot{\Psi}\,|\,\Psi>\Big)+<\Psi\,|H|\,\Psi>, \tag{5. 1}$$

where the dot denotes time derivative.

Taking a coordinate $\mathcal{G}'$ instead of the generator coordinate $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ in (4. 13), we use the $SO(2N+1)$ WF $\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}G^{\dagger})(=\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)D(Q\overline{\widetilde{Q}}))$. As basic preparations, following Fukutome [18], we calculate the double group integration of the overlap integral $\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}')S(\overline{\widetilde{Q}'},\widetilde{Q})\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G})$ over the $\widetilde{G}$ and $\widetilde{G}'$. It is made in the following way as

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{2N}\iint\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}')D(\widetilde{Q}'\overline{Q})\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}')S(\overline{\widetilde{Q}'},\widetilde{Q})\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})D(Q\overline{\widetilde{Q}})d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=2^{2N}\iint\frac{S(\overline{Q},\widetilde{Q}')\Phi_{00}(G)\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}')}{\Phi_{00}(G)}S(\overline{\widetilde{Q}'},\widetilde{Q})\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}')\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G})\frac{S(\overline{\widetilde{Q}},Q)\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=\frac{1}{\Phi_{00}(G)}\frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}2^{N}\int\Big(2^{N}\int S(G,\widetilde{G}')S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})\,d\widetilde{G}'\Big)S(\widetilde{G},G)d\widetilde{G}\\
&=\frac{1}{|\Phi_{00}(G)|^{2}}2^{N}\int S(G,\widetilde{G})S(\widetilde{G},G)d\widetilde{G}=\frac{S(G,G)}{|\Phi_{00}(G)|^{2}}=S(\overline{Q},Q)=\left[\det\left(1_{N+1}-\mathcal{Q}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned} \tag{5. 2}$$

where we have used the property of the projection operator $2^{N}S(G,G')$ (4. 12). The second equation in (4. 10) shows the relation $S(G,G)=1$. Using (5. 2), we also have

$$2^{2N}\iint\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}'G^{\dagger})\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}')S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G})\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}G^{\dagger})d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'=S(G,G)=|\Phi_{00}(G)|^{2}S(\overline{Q},Q)=1. \tag{5. 3}$$

Introducing the quantity N defined as $N\equiv|\Phi_{00}(G)|\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain the relation

$$\frac{\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}G^{\dagger})}{N}=\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})D(Q\overline{\widetilde{Q}})\left[\frac{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}{|\Phi_{00}(G)|}\right]\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})D(Q\overline{\widetilde{Q}})e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{5. 4}$$

owing to the form of expression for $\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)$,(2.23). Then, the first term of (5. 1) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\Big(<\Psi\,|\,\dot{\Psi}>-<\dot{\Psi}\,|\,\Psi>\Big)\\
&=-\frac{i\hbar}{2}2^{2N}\iint S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})\left\{\frac{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}'G^{\dagger})}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}G^{\dagger})}{N}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}'G^{\dagger})}{N}\right)\frac{\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}G^{\dagger})}{N}\right\}d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=-\frac{i\hbar}{2}2^{2N}\iint\frac{S(G,\widetilde{G}')S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})}{\Phi_{00}(G)}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}S(\widetilde{G},G)e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&\quad+\frac{i\hbar}{2}2^{2N}\iint\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{\Phi_{00}(G)}S(G,\widetilde{G}')e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\frac{S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})S(\widetilde{G},G)}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=-\frac{i\hbar}{2}2^{N}\int\frac{1}{\Phi_{00}(G)}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{S(G,\widetilde{G})S(\widetilde{G},G)}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)d\widetilde{G}\\
&\quad+\frac{i\hbar}{2}2^{N}\int\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{S(\widetilde{G}',G)S(G,\widetilde{G}')}{\Phi_{00}(G)}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\frac{1}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=-\frac{\hbar}{2}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\frac{\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Phi_{00}(G)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\frac{S(G,G)\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}\right)+\frac{\hbar}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\frac{\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Phi_{00}(G)}\right)e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\frac{S(G,G)\left[S(\overline{Q},Q)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)},
\end{aligned} \tag{5. 5}$$

using the last relation in (5. 2) and $\frac{\dot{\Phi}_{00}(G)}{\Phi_{00}(G)}=-i\frac{\tau}{2}$, which leads us to the following result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\Big(<\Psi\,|\,\dot{\Psi}>-<\dot{\Psi}\,|\,\Psi>\Big)\\
&=-\frac{i\hbar}{2}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\frac{\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Phi_{00}(G)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Big(e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\Phi_{00}(G)\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big)+\frac{i\hbar}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\frac{\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Phi_{00}(G)}\right)e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\Phi_{00}(G)\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{2}\dot{\tau}-\frac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\dot{Q}\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}-\dot{\overline{Q}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}}\right)\ln S(\overline{Q},Q)-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\frac{\dot{\Phi}_{00}(G)}{\Phi_{00}(G)}-\frac{i\hbar}{2}\frac{\dot{\Phi}_{00}(G)}{\Phi_{00}^{2}(G)}\Phi_{00}(G)S(\overline{Q},Q)\\
&=\frac{\hbar}{4}\dot{\tau}-\frac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\dot{Q}\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}-\dot{\overline{Q}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}}\right)\ln S(\overline{Q},Q)-\frac{\hbar}{4}S(\overline{Q},Q).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5. 6}
$$

Using the definition $<U(G)\,|H|\,U^{\dagger}(G)>\equiv H(G,G)$, the second term is also calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&<\Psi\,|H|\,\Psi>=2^{2N}\iint S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})\frac{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}'G^{\dagger})}{N}H(G,G)\frac{\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}G^{\dagger})}{N}d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=2^{2N}\iint\frac{S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})S(\overline{Q},\widetilde{Q}')\Phi_{00}(G)\overline{\Phi}_{00}(\widetilde{G}')}{\Phi_{00}(G)}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad\times H(G,G)\frac{S(\overline{\widetilde{Q}},Q)\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G})\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=2^{2N}\iint\frac{S(G,\widetilde{G}')S(\widetilde{G}',\widetilde{G})}{\Phi_{00}(G)}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}H(G,G)\,\frac{S(\widetilde{G},G)}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\widetilde{G}d\widetilde{G}'\\
&=2^{N}\int\frac{S(G,\widetilde{G})}{\Phi_{00}(G)}e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}H(G,G)\,\frac{S(\widetilde{G},G)}{\overline{\Phi}_{00}(G)}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\widetilde{G}\\
&=e^{-\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{H(G,G)}{|\Phi_{00}(G)|^{2}}e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}\big[S(\overline{Q},Q)\big]^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{H(\overline{Q},Q)}{S(\overline{Q},Q)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{5. 7}
$$

Using (5. 1) with the aid of (5. 6) and (5. 7), we finally obtain a classical TD $SO(2N+1)$ Lagrangian given as

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{H(\overline{Q},Q)}{S(\overline{Q},Q)}-\frac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\dot{Q}\frac{\partial}{\partial Q}-\dot{\overline{Q}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}}\right)\ln S(\overline{Q},Q)-\frac{\hbar}{4}S(\overline{Q},Q)+\frac{\hbar}{4}\dot{\tau}. \tag{5. 8}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for the $\frac{SO(2N+1)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variable $\overline{Q}_{\alpha\beta}$ is calculated to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\dot{\overline{Q}}_{pq}}\right)-\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\\
&=\frac{i\hbar}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\ln S(\overline{Q},Q)\right\}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\left[\frac{H(\overline{Q},Q)}{S(\overline{Q},Q)}\right]+\frac{i\hbar}{4}\left(\dot{Q}_{rs}\frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{rs}}-\dot{\overline{Q}}_{rs}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}_{rs}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\ln S(\overline{Q},Q)\\
&+\frac{\hbar}{4}\frac{\partial S(\overline{Q},Q)}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\\
&=-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\left[\frac{H(\overline{Q},Q)}{S(\overline{Q},Q)}\right]+\frac{i\hbar}{2}\dot{Q}_{rs}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial Q_{rs}\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\ln S(\overline{Q},Q)+\frac{\hbar}{4}\frac{\partial S(\overline{Q},Q)}{\partial\overline{Q}_{pq}}\\
&=0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{5. 9}
$$

and its complex conjugation. Equation (5. 9) leads to the different form of the $SO(2N+1)$ TDHB equation from the one of the TDHB equation given in Ref. [10]. This form of equation also describes collective excitations in even and odd fermion-number systems, respectively.

6 RPA vacuum and symplectic two-form ω

We are now in a stage to derive an equation for the $SO(2N{+}1)$ random phase approximation (RPA) which describes collective excitations in even and odd Fermion systems, respectively. Let $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}'$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ be the $SO(2N{+}2)$ matrices corresponding to G, G' and G_0, respectively. The $\mathcal{G}$ is given by (2.31). Following Fukutome [18], we consider the fluctuation $\widetilde{G}$ around the stationary ground state $|G_0\rangle$. It can be regarded as the matrix-valued generator coordinate in the $\mathcal{G}$ quasi-particle frame defined by the following relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G}_0^\dagger\mathcal{G}$:

As shown previously, a state vector in the $SO(2N{+}1)$ spinor space is in the form of

$$\Phi_{0f}(G_0^\dagger G) = \Phi_{00}(G_0^\dagger G)\chi_f[\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}(G_0^\dagger G)],\ \Phi_{00}(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})=\Phi_{00}(\widetilde{G}). \tag{6. 1}$$

Using the same representations as those of (4. 5) for the relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G}_0^\dagger\mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} & \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}}\\ \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} & \overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}\end{bmatrix}=\mathcal{G}_0^\dagger\mathcal{G}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger & \mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\\ \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A} & \overline{\mathcal{B}}\\ \mathcal{B} & \overline{\mathcal{A}}\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\mathcal{B} & \mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\overline{\mathcal{B}}+\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\overline{\mathcal{A}}\\ \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{B} & \mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathcal{B}}+\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{\mathcal{A}}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{6. 2}$$

Then, with the aid of the last relation of (4. 7), a variable $\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}\equiv\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} &=\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}=\big(\mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{B}\big)\big(\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\mathcal{B}\big)^{-1}=\big(\mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{Q}\big)\big(\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger+\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\mathcal{Q}\big)^{-1}\\ &=(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0)^{-1}\big(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0\mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{Q}\big)\big(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}\big)^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger)^{-1}\\ &=(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0)^{-1}\Big[\Big\{\mathcal{Q}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0\mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}-\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger\mathcal{Q}\Big\}\big(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}\big)^{-1}\Big](\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger)^{-1}\\ &=(\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0)^{-1}\Big[-\mathcal{Q}_0\left(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}_0\right)^{-1}+\mathcal{Q}\left(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}\right)^{-1}\Big](\mathcal{A}_0^\dagger)^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{6. 3}$$

where we have used $\mathcal{Q}\equiv\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^{-1}$. To reach the last line of (6. 3), further we have used the relations $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0\mathcal{A}_0^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger=1_{N+1}$, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_0\mathcal{B}_0^{\mathrm{T}}=-\mathcal{Q}_0\left(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}_0\right)^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0\mathcal{B}_0^\dagger=-\mathcal{Q}_0\big(1_{N+1}-\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0\mathcal{Q}_0\big)^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_0$, in which the last two relations can be derived from (4. 25). At first glance, the transformation rule (6. 3) seems to be very different from the one (4. 9) given in the previous section. This is because we here choose the matrix-valued generator coordinate in the $\mathcal{G}$ quasi-particle frame defined by the relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G}_0^\dagger\mathcal{G}$ though before we adopted the matrix-valued generator coordinate in the $\mathcal{G}$ quasi-particle frame defined by another relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}=\mathcal{G}^\dagger\mathcal{G}'$.

Hereafter we restrict the G_0 to a g_0, the $SO(2N)$ HB case. Then, from (4. 25), we have

$$\overline{\widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}}_{ij}=\overline{\widetilde{q}}_{ij}=\left[a_0^{-1}\left\{\overline{q}(1-q_0\overline{q})^{-1}-\overline{q}_0(1-q_0\overline{q}_0)^{-1}\right\}a_0^{\mathrm{T}-1}\right]_{ij}, \tag{6. 4}$$

denoting $\widetilde{q}$ simply as q. In that case, the Dyson rep (3.4) leads to the operators $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}{}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ etc. for even number systems and the commutation relation $[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{lk}}]$ in the following forms:

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{i}}{}_{\boldsymbol{j}}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}=\overline{q}_{ik}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{jk}},\quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}},\quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{ij}}\simeq\overline{q}_{ij},\\ [\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}},\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{lk}}]\simeq\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}-\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}.\end{aligned}\right\} \tag{6. 5}$$

Let us introduce new boson annihilation-creation operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega_A}$ and ω_A. Then, the old ones $\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}$ and $\overline{q}_{ij}$ are expressed in the linear combination of the new ones as follows:

$$\begin{cases}\overline{q}_{ij}=\overline{u}_{ij,A}\omega_A+\overline{v}_{ij,A}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\omega_A},\ (i>j),\\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}=u_{ij,A}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\omega_A}+v_{ij,A}\omega_A,\ (i>j),\end{cases} \tag{6. 6}$$

where the coefficients u and v satisfy the following orthogonal conditions:

$$\begin{cases} u_{ij,A}\overline{u}_{kl,A} - v_{ij,A}\overline{v}_{kl,A} = \delta_{ij,kl}, & \left(uu^{\dagger} - vv^{\dagger} = 1_N\right), \\ v_{ij,A}u_{kl,A} - v_{kj,A}u_{kl,A} = 0, & \left(vu^{\mathrm{T}} - uv^{\mathrm{T}} = 0\right). \end{cases} \tag{6. 7}$$

In (6. 6) and (6. 7), we have used the summation convention over repeated index A. The inverse transformation to (6. 6) is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_A = \sum_{i>j}\left(u_{ij,A}\overline{q}_{ij} + \overline{v}_{ij,A}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \overline{q}_{ij}}\right), \\ \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \omega_A} = \sum_{i>j}\left(\overline{u}_{ij,A}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial \overline{q}_{ij}} + v_{ij,A}\overline{q}_{ij}\right), \end{cases} \tag{6. 8}$$

where the coefficients u and v satisfy the other type of the orthogonal conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i>j}\left(\overline{u}_{ij,A}u_{ij,B} - v_{ij,A}\overline{v}_{ij,B}\right) = \delta_{AB}, & \left(u^{\dagger}u - v^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{v} = 1_N\right), \\ \sum_{i>j}\left(\overline{u}_{ij,A}\overline{v}_{ij,B} - u_{ij,B}\overline{v}_{ij,A}\right) = 0, & \left(u^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{v} - v^{\dagger}u = 0\right). \end{cases} \tag{6. 9}$$

We here put $f=0$ in the function χ_f given in (6. 1). This means that we treat only the ground state with no bosons. Then, the function χ_0 should satisfy the following condition:

$$\frac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial \omega_A} = \sum_{i>j}\left(\overline{u}_{ij,A}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{q}_{ij}} + v_{ij,A}\overline{q}_{ij}\right)\chi_0 = 0, \tag{6. 10}$$

where we have used the second equation of (6. 8). From (6. 10), we can get the differential equation for χ_0 with respect to the variable $\overline{q}_{ij}$ as

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \chi_0}{\partial \overline{q}_{ij}} = -\sum_{k>l} R_{ij,kl}\overline{q}_{kl}\chi_0, \\ R_{ij,kl} \equiv \left[(u^{\dagger})^{-1}v^{\mathrm{T}}\right]_{ij,kl} = \left[v(\overline{u})^{-1}\right]_{ij,kl}, \ R_{ij,kl} = R_{kl,ij}. \end{cases} \tag{6. 11}$$

the solution for which is easily obtained as

$$\chi_0 = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i>j,\ k>l} R_{ij,kl}\overline{q}_{ij}\overline{q}_{kl}\right], \tag{6. 12}$$

which leads to the RPA vacuum $\Phi_0 = \Phi_{00}(G_0^{\dagger}G)\chi_0$.

On the other hand, by using the boson creation operator ω_A (6. 8), the RPA excited states with one boson and two bosons can be realized, respectively, in the following forms:

One boson excited state:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_A\chi_0 &= \sum_{i>j}\left(u_{ij,A}\overline{q}_{ij} + \overline{v}_{ij,A}\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{q}_{ij}}\right)\chi_0 \\ &= \sum_{i>j}\left(u_{ij,A} - \sum_{k>l}\overline{v}_{kl,A}R_{kl,ij}\right)\overline{q}_{ij}\chi_0 = \sum_{i>j}\left[u^{\mathrm{T}} - v^{\dagger}v(\overline{u})^{-1}\right]_{ij,A}\overline{q}_{ij}\chi_0 \\ &= \left[(\overline{u})^{-1}\,\overline{q}\right]_A\chi_0 \end{aligned} \tag{6. 13}$$

where we have used the definition of $R_{kl,ij}$ given by the second equation of (6. 11).

Two bosons excited state:

$$\omega_A\omega_B\chi_0 = \left\{\left[(\overline{u})^{-1}\,\overline{q}\right]_A\left[(\overline{u})^{-1}\,\overline{q}\right]_B + \left[(\overline{u})^{-1}\,\overline{v}\right]_{AB}\right\}\chi_0. \tag{6. 14}$$

Finally, we point out the non existence of the higher RPA vacuum. Suppose the function χ corresponds to the higher RPA vacuum. Then, the χ contains no excited bosons. This is shown as follows: The function χ should satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{i>j}\left(\overline{u}_{ij,A}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} - v_{ij,A}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{ij}}\right)\chi = 0 \ \longrightarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}\chi = R_{ij,kl}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{e}}^{\boldsymbol{kl}}\chi. \tag{6. 15}$$

Using the Dyson rep (3.4), this condition (6. 15) is transformed to

$$\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}+R_{ij,kl}\left(\overline{q}_{km}\overline{q}_{nl}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{mn}}+\overline{q}_{kl}\right)\right\}\chi=0\ \longrightarrow(1-R\ \overline{q}^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}\chi=-R\overline{q}\chi, \tag{6. 16}$$

from which we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}\ln\chi=-\left[(1-R\overline{q}^2)^{-1}R\overline{q}\right]_{ij}. \tag{6. 17}$$

Further the second differential for $\ln\chi$ with respect to $\overline{q}$ is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{q}_{kl}\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}\ln\chi&=\frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial\overline{q}_{kl}}\\&=-\left[(1-R\ \overline{q}^2)^{-1}R\right]_{ij,\ kl}-2\left[(1-R\ \overline{q}^2)^{-1}R\right]_{ij,\ lm}\overline{q}_{mn}\overline{q}_{nl}[(1-R\ \overline{q}^2)^{-1}R\ \overline{q}]_{ln}\\&\neq\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}\partial\overline{q}_{kl}}\ln\chi,\end{aligned} \tag{6. 18}$$

which shows $\ln\chi$ not to be integrable. An integrability condition is intimately related to the Pfaff's problem [19] completely solved by Cartan [20]. Thus the non existence of the higher RPA vacuum is proved. A curvature $C(=d\Omega-\Omega\wedge\Omega)$ to become zero is nothing but the vanishing of the curvature C of connection. A one-form Ω is linearly composed of some infinitesimal generators [21]. The one boson excited state on the the function χ is constructed as

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_A\chi_0&=\textstyle\sum_{i>j}\left(u_{ij,A}\widetilde{e}_{\boldsymbol{ij}}-\overline{v}_{ij,A}\widetilde{e}^{\boldsymbol{ij}}\right)\chi_0\\&=\textstyle\sum_{i>j}\left\{u_{ij,A}\left(\overline{q}_{ij}+\overline{q}_{ik}\overline{q}_{lj}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{kl}}\right)+\overline{v}_{ij,A}\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{q}_{ij}}\right\}\chi\\&=\textstyle\sum_{i>j}\left\{u_{ij,A}\left(\overline{q}_{ij}-\overline{q}_{ik}\overline{q}_{lj}\overline{f}_{kl}\right)-\overline{v}_{ij,A}f_{ij}\right\}\chi.\end{aligned} \tag{6. 19}$$

From the group theoretical viewpoint [21], we show the existence of homogeneous symplectic two-form ω. We introduce a hermitian and traceless HB density-matrix w on the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$

$$w=\overline{\mathcal{G}}\epsilon\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{T}}=\overline{\mathcal{G}}\begin{bmatrix}-1_{N+1}&0\\0&1_{N+1}\end{bmatrix}\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{T}}=\begin{bmatrix}2\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^\dagger-1_{N+1}&2\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}^\dagger\\-2\overline{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}}&-2\overline{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}}+1_{N+1}\end{bmatrix}=2\mathcal{W}-1_{2N+2},\ w^2=1_{2N+2}, \tag{6. 20}$$

with $wdw+dww=0$. The action of $SO(2N+2)$ on w is made as $w\to\overline{\mathcal{G}}w\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{T}}$. Taking a new $(N+1)\times(2N+2)$ matrix h as $h^\dagger=\left(1_{N+1}+\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}^\dagger\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}[1_{N+1},-\mathcal{Q}]$ with $h^\dagger h=1_{2N+2}$, we have a very simple expression for w as

$$w=1_{2N+2}-2hh^\dagger,\ \mathrm{Tr}w=0, \tag{6. 21}$$

Following Rajeev, Toprak and Tugurt [22, 23, 24, 25], the <u>invariant</u> ω and $d\omega$ are given as

$$\omega=-\frac{i}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{w(dw)^2\right\}(\underline{\text{invariant}}\text{ under }w\to\overline{\mathcal{G}}w\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{T}}),\ d\omega=-\frac{i}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{(dw)^3\right\}=-\frac{i}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{(dw)^3w^2\right\}=-d\omega, \tag{6. 22}$$

and then, $d\omega=0$ (closed form). If we introduce hermitian matrices $\Psi=\begin{bmatrix}0&\psi\\\psi^\dagger&0\end{bmatrix}$ and $\Phi=\begin{bmatrix}0&\phi\\\phi^\dagger&0\end{bmatrix}$, tangent vectors satisfying $\{\epsilon,\Psi\}=\{\epsilon,\Phi\}=0$, thus, we have the Rajeev's quadratic form:

$$\omega(\Psi,\ \Phi)=-\frac{i}{8}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1_N&0\\0&-1_N\end{bmatrix}[\Psi,\ \Phi]\right\}=\frac{i}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\left\{\psi^\dagger\varphi-\varphi^\dagger\psi\right\}, \tag{6. 23}$$

which is a symplectic and nondegenerate form. The Grassmannian w is a symplectic manifold with the two-form ω. A symplectic vector field $V_\psi(w)$ satisfying $wV_\psi(w)+V_\psi w=0$ is found to be $V_\psi(w)=-i[\psi,w]$. This is the action of $V_\psi(w)$ on the w for a Lie algebra element $\psi(w)$. The symplectic structure can be considered in terms of the Poisson algebra of these functional matrices. Standing on the above observation, it makes possible to construct the geometric quantization on a finite-dimensional Grassmannian as has been discussed in Ref. [22].

7 Discussions and further perspective

A different form of the $SO(2N+1)$ TDHB theory from the previous one of Ref. [10] has been made, basing on the fact that the fermion annihilation-creation and pair operators form the Lie algebra of $SO(2N+1)$ group. The $SO(2N+1)$ group was found by a group extension of the $SO(2N)$ Bogoliubov transformation for the fermion to a new canonical transformation group. Embedding the $SO(2N+1)$ group into the $SO(2N+2)$ group and using the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variables, we have developed the extended TDHB theory in which paired and unpaired modes are treated in an equal manner. Such the TDHB theory applicable to both even and odd fermion-number systems is also the TDSCF theory with the same level of the mean field approximation as the usual TDHB theory for even fermion-number systems [26, 27].

Adopting a slight different way from Fukutome's [11], we here have proposed the non-Euclidian transformation, bringing the projected $SO(2N+1)$ Tamm-Dancoff equation of Ref. [11] and derived the classical TD $SO(2N+1)$ Lagrangian which, through the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion for $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset variables, leads to a different form of the extended TDHB equation from that of Ref. [10]. The RPA, starting with HB approximation [28, 29] for a ground state, HB RPA, has been applied to superconducting fermion systems. The HB RPA, however, is applicable only to even fermion-number systems because the HB WF contains only components with even fermion numbers and describes only Bose type excitations which are ascribed to creation and annihilation of quasi-particle pairs. The present RPA is derived using the Dyson rep [7] for paired and unpaired operators. In the $SO(2N)$ HB case, the RPA vacuum has been obtained. One boson and two boson excited states have also been realized. We, however, have stressed the non existence of the higher RPA vacuum because the $SO(2N+1)$ spinor function is not integrable and existence of the symplectic two-form ω.

Being described in the previous section, the symplectic structure is considered in terms of the Poisson algebra. Along the same as the Rajeev's method [22], it is possible to construct the geometric quantization on the finite-dimensional Grassmannian $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$. According to Ref. [13], if we take matrix elements of $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}$ as coordinates on the $\frac{SO(2N+2)}{U(N+1)}$ coset manifold, the real line element is defined by a hermitian metric tensor on the coset manifold as $ds^2 = \omega_{pq\underline{r}\,\underline{s}} d\mathcal{Q}^{pq} d\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}}$ ($\mathcal{Q}^{pq} = \mathcal{Q}_{pq}$, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}^{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}} = \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}}$; $\omega_{pq\underline{r}\,\underline{s}} = \omega_{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}pq}$). We also use the indices $\underline{r}$, $\underline{s}$, $\cdots$ running over 0 and α, β, $\cdots$. The hermitian metric tensor $\omega_{pq\ \underline{rs}}$ is given through a Kähler potential, $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Q}^\dagger, \mathcal{Q}) = \ln\det\left(1_{N+1} + \mathcal{Q}^\dagger\mathcal{Q}\right)$ and its expression is given as $\omega_{pq\underline{r}\,\underline{s}} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{Q}^\dagger, \mathcal{Q})}{\partial \mathcal{Q}^{pq} \partial \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}}}$. Concerning the Poisson bracket, see textbook, e.g., [30]. The Poisson bracket on the complex manifold for a pair of functions f and g is defined by $\{f, g\} = -\omega^{-1}_{pq\ \underline{r}\,\underline{s}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathcal{Q}^{pq}} \otimes \frac{\partial g}{\partial \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{\mathcal{Q}}^{\underline{r}\,\underline{s}}} \otimes \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathcal{Q}^{pq}} \right)$ which is antisymmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity. The Hamiltonian operated on the function χ, the part of the $SO(2N+1)$ spinor function, is the operator $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{H}}(\overline{\mathcal{Q}})$ coinciding with $\frac{H(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{Q})}{S(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{Q})}$. While we have the relation $H(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}, \mathcal{Q}) = \frac{H(G,G)}{|\Phi_{00}(G)|^2} \equiv <H>_{G,G}$ whose explicit expression, using (2.39), is obtained as $<H>_{G,G} = h_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R}_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}[\alpha\beta|\gamma\delta]\left(\mathcal{R}_{\beta\alpha}\mathcal{R}_{\delta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha\gamma}\mathcal{K}_{\delta\beta}\right)$ and the SCF HB hamiltonian $<H^{\rm HB}>_{G,G}$ as $<H^{\rm HB}>_{G,G} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{R}_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta}\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{K}_{\alpha\beta}$ where the SCF parameters $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta}$ are defined as $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv h_{\alpha\beta} + [\alpha\beta|\gamma\delta]\mathcal{R}_{\delta\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\alpha\gamma|\beta\delta]\mathcal{K}_{\delta\gamma}$, respectively. Both the $<H>_{G,G}$ and $<H^{\rm HB}>_{G,G}$ become the quadratic functions of the w through $\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{2}(w + 1_{2N+2})$. These are the classical systems having the two-form ω under the constraint $w^2 = 1_{2N+2}$. In a near future, we will give a geometric requantization of the above classical systems, following the ways, e.g., Hurt's [31] or Kirillov's [32].

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (S.N.) would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor Constança Providência for kind and warm hospitality extended to him at the Centro de Física, Universidade de Coimbra. This work was supported by FCT (Portugal) under the project CERN/FP/83505/2008.

References

[1] N.N. Bogoliubov, The compensation principle and the self-consistent field method, *Soviet Phys.-Uspekhi* **67** (1959) 236-254.

[2] J. Bardeen, L.N. Cooper and J.R. Schrieffer, Theory of superconductivity, *Phys. Rev.* **108** (1957) 1175-1204.

[3] S. Nishiyama, Path integral on the coset space of the SO(2N) group and the time-dependent Hartree-Bogoliubov equation, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **66** (1981) 348-350.

[4] H. Fukutome, M. Yamamura and S. Nishiyama, A new fermion many-body theory based on the SO(2N+1) Lie algebra of the fermion operators, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **57** (1977) 1554-1571.

[5] J. Schwinger, in: *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, L. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1965, 229.

[6] M. Yamamura and S. Nishiyama, An a priori quantized time-dependent Hartree-Bogoliubov theory. - A generalization of the Schwinger representation of quasi-spin to the fermion pair algebra -, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **56** (1976) 124-134.

[7] H. Fukutome, On the SO(2N+1) regular representation of operators and wave functions of fermion many-body systems, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **58** (1977) 1692-1708.

[8] J. Dobaczewski, A unification of boson expansion theories (I). Functional representations of fermion states, *Nucl. Phys.* **A369** (1981), 213-236.

[9] J. Dobaczewski, (II). Boson expansions as provided by the functioal representation method, 237-257.

[10] H. Fukutome and S. Nishiyama, Time dependent SO(2N+1) theory for unified description of Bose and Fermi type collective excitations, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **72** (1984) 239-251.

[11] H. Fukutome, A new Tamm-Dancoff method based on the SO(2N+1) regular representation of fermion many-body systems, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **60** (1978) 1624-1639.

[12] H. Fukutome, The group theoretical structure of fermion many-body systems arising from the canonical anticommutation relation. I - *Lie algebras of fermion operators and exact generator coordinate representations of state vectors* -, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65** (1981) 809-827.

[13] S. Nishiyama, J. da Providência, C. Providência and F. Cordeiro, Extended supersymmetric sigma-model based on the SO(2N+1) Lie algebra of the fermion operators. *Nucl. Phys.* **B802** (2008) 121-145.

[14] H. Fukutome, On the SO(2N+1) regular representation of operators and wave functions of fermion many-body systems, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **58** (1977) 1692-1708.

[15] F.J. Dyson, General theory of spin-wave interactions, *Phys. Rev.* **102** (1956) 1217-1230.

[16] D. Janssen, F. Dönau, S. Frauendorf and R.V. Jolos, Boson description of collective states (I). Derivation of the boson transformation for even fermion systems, *Nucl. Phys.* **A172** (1971) 145-165.

[17] S. Okubo, Nonassociative algebra in nuclear boson-expansion theory, *Phys. Rev.* **C10** (1974) 2045-2047; General boson expansion theory and Lie algebras B_n and D_n, 2048-2057.

[18] H. Fukutome, private communication.

[19] A.R. Forsyth, *A Treatise on Differential Equation*, Trinity University Press, Cambridge, 1885.

[20] E. Cartan, *Les Systèmes Différentiels Exterieurs et leur Applications Géometriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1945.

[21] S. Nishiyama, J. da Providência, C. Providência, F. Cordeiro and T. Komatsu, Self-consistent-field method and τ-functional method on group manifold in soliton theory: a review and new results, *SIGMA* **5** (2009) 009-1-76.

[22] S.G. Rajeev, Quantum hydrodynamics in two dimensions, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** (1994) 5583-5624.

[23] S.G. Rajeev and O.T. Turgut, Geometric quantization and two dimensional QCD, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **192** (1998) 493-517.

[24] Toprak E. and Turgut O.T., Large N limit of $SO(2N)$ scalar gauge theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 1340-1352.

[25] Toprak E. and Turgut O.T., Large N limit of SO($2N$) gauge theory of fermions and bosons, *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 3074-3096.

[26] P. Ring and P. Schuck, *The Nuclear Many-Body Problem*, Springer, Berlin, 1980.

[27] J.P. Blaizot and G. Ripka, *Quantum Theory of Finite Systems*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1986.

[28] N.N. Bogoliubov, A new method in the theory of superconductivity. I, *Sov. Phys.-J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys.*, **34** (1958) 58-65.

[29] N.N. Bogoliubov, V.V. Tolmacev and D.V. Sirkov, *A New Method in the Theory of Superconductivity* (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1959).

[30] Bou-Yu Hou and Bou-Yuan Hou, *Differential Geometry for Physicists* World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. 1997, Singapore.

[31] N.E. Hurt, *Geometric Quantization in Action* Dordrecht: D. Reidel, 1983.

[32] A. Kirillov, *Geometric Quantization* in *Dynamical Systems IV*, ed. by V.I. Arnold, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer Verlag, 1988.